Matroid Intersection under Restricted Oracles

<u>Yutaro Yamaguchi</u>

Collaborators: Kristóf Bérczi, Tamás Király, Yu Yokoi Special Thanks: Mihály Bárász, Yuni Iwamasa, Taihei Oki

JH2023@Budapest 2023/03/24

Matroid Intersection under Restricted Oracles

Q. Is "Matroid Intersection" tractable? In what sense?

K. Bérczi, T. Király, <u>Y. Yamaguchi</u>, Y. Yokoi:

Matroid Intersection under Restricted Oracles.

SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics (SIDMA). To appear. (arXiv:2209.14516)

M. Bárász, K. Bérczi, T. Király, <u>Y. Yamaguchi</u>, Y. Yokoi:
Matroid Intersection under Minimum Rank Oracle. In preparation.
(Including and Extending
M. Bárász: Matroid Intersection for the Min-Rank Oracle.
EGRES Technical Report, QP-2006-03, 2006.)

To be continued...

Matroid Intersection under Restricted Oracles

Q. Is "Matroid Intersection" tractable? In what sense?

K. Bérczi, T. Király, <u>Y. Yamaguchi</u>, Y. Yokoi: **Matroid Intersection under Restricted Oracles**. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics (SIDMA).* To appear. (arXiv:2209.14516)

M. Bárász, K. Bérczi, T. Király, <u>Y. Yamaguchi</u>, Y. Yokoi: **Matroid Intersection under Minimum Rank Oracle**. In preparation. (Including and Extending M. Bárász: **Matroid Intersection for the Min-Rank Oracle**. *EGRES Technical Report*, QP-2006-03, 2006.)

To be continued... and there are also spin-off papers:

K. Bérczi, T. Király, <u>Y. Yamaguchi</u>, Y. Yokoi:

Approximation by Lexicographically Maximal Solutions in Matching and Matroid Intersection Problems. *Theoretical Computer Science*, **910** (2022), pp. 48–53.

K. Bérczi, T. Király, T. Schwarcz, <u>Y. Yamaguchi</u>, Y. Yokoi: **Hypergraph Characterization of Split Matroids**. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, **194** (2023), No. 105697.

Outline

- Overview: Question and Results
- Matroid Intersection (Basics)
 - Matroid and Matroid Intersection
 - Augmenting-Path Algorithms and Exchangeability Graph
- Matroid Intersection under Restricted Oracles
 - First Step: What can be done in general by Common Independence Oracle
 - Results on Each Restricted Oracle
- Matroid Intersection under Minimum Rank Oracle
 - How to Solve Unweighted Problem
 - Results on Weighted Problem

Outline

- Overview: Question and Results
- Matroid Intersection (Basics)
 - Matroid and Matroid Intersection
 - Augmenting-Path Algorithms and Exchangeability Graph
- Matroid Intersection under Restricted Oracles
 - First Step: What can be done in general by Common Independence Oracle
 - Results on Each Restricted Oracle
- Matroid Intersection under Minimum Rank Oracle
 - How to Solve Unweighted Problem
 - Results on Weighted Problem

What is Matroid Intersection?

The intersection of two matroids

- Efficient Algorithms and Max-Min Theorems
 - A maximum-cardinality common independent set
 - A maximum-weight common independent set (of each cardinality)
- LP Formulation
 - Intersection of matroid polytopes = matroid intersection polytope
 - Total dual integrality (TDI) and well-structured dual solution
- Many Applications (= Unified Framework)
 Bipartite matching, Arborescence (packing), Dijoin, etc.

What is Matroid Intersection?

The intersection of two matroids

- Efficient Algorithms and Max-Min Theorems
 - A maximum-cardinality common independent set
 - A maximum-weight common independent set (of each cardinality)
- LP Formulation
 - Intersection of matroid polytopes = matroid intersection polytope
 - Total dual integrality (TDI) and well-structured dual solution
- Many Applications (= Unified Framework)
 Bipartite matching, Arborescence (packing), Dijoin, etc.

The intersection of two matroids

- Efficient Algorithms and Max-Min Theorems
 - A maximum-cardinality common independent set
 - A maximum-weight common independent set (of each cardinality)
- LP Formulation
 - Intersection of matroid polytopes = matroid intersection polytope
 - Total dual integrality (TDI) and well-structured dual solution
- Many Applications (= Unified Framework)
 Bipartite matching, Arborescence (packing), Dijoin, etc.

The intersection of two matroids

Most of them require separate information

- Efficient Algorithms and Max-Min Theorems
 - A maximum-cardinality common independent set
 - A maximum-weight common independent set (of each cardinality)
- LP Formulation
 - Intersection of matroid polytopes = matroid intersection polytope
 - Total dual integrality (TDI) and well-structured dual solution
- Many Applications (= Unified Framework)
 Bipartite matching, Arborescence (packing), Dijoin, etc.

Most of them require separate information of two matroids

What is known

- Efficient Algorithms rely on **separate** oracles for the two matroids
- Max-Min Theorems and the Polyhedral Description are given by using the two rank functions separately

- The resulting combinatorial structure is just $\mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$
- The polytope is completely determined by $r_{\min} = \min\{r_1, r_2\}$
- When it is seen as a special case of Matroid Matching, the input should be $r_{sum} = r_1 + r_2$ (oracle)

Basic Strategy of Efficient Algorithms

- Starting with $Y = \emptyset$, repeatedly update the current solution Y.
- For each update,
 - construct the exchangeability graph w.r.t. Y,
 - find an augmenting path P in the graph, and
 - flip the current solution along the path, i.e., $Y \leftarrow Y \bigtriangleup P$.
- The edges in the graph are oriented according to in which matroid the two elements are exchangeable.

Assumption: Independence in each matroid can be tested.



Most of them require

separate information

of two matroids

Most of them require separate information of two matroids

Basic Strategy of Efficient Algorithms

- Starting with $Y = \emptyset$, repeatedly update the current solution Y.
- For each update,
 - \circ construct the exchangeability graph w.r.t. Y,
 - find an augmenting path P in the graph, and
 - flip the current solution along the path, i.e., $Y \leftarrow Y \bigtriangleup P$.
- The edges in the graph are oriented according to in which matroid the two elements are exchangeable.

Assumption: Independence in each matroid can be tested.



17



Most of them require separate information of two matroids

Basic Strategy of Efficient Algorithms

- Starting with $Y = \emptyset$, repeatedly update the current solution Y.
- For each update,
 - \circ construct the exchangeability graph w.r.t. Y,
 - \circ find an augmenting path P in the graph, and
 - flip the current solution along the path, i.e., $Y \leftarrow Y \bigtriangleup P$.
- The edges in the graph are oriented according to in which matroid the two elements are exchangeable.

Assumption: Independence in each matroid can be tested.





Most of them require separate information of two matroids

Basic Strategy of Efficient Algorithms

- Starting with $Y = \emptyset$, repeatedly update the current solution Y.
- For each update,
 - \circ construct the exchangeability graph w.r.t. Y,
 - \circ find an augmenting path P in the graph, and
 - flip the current solution along the path, i.e., $Y \leftarrow Y \bigtriangleup P$.
- The edges in the graph are oriented according to in which matroid the two elements are exchangeable.

Assumption: Independence in each matroid can be tested.





ble" Most of them require separate information of two matroids

Basic Strategy of Efficient Algorithms

- Starting with $Y = \emptyset$, repeatedly update the current solution Y.
- For each update,
 - \circ construct the exchangeability graph w.r.t. Y,
 - \circ find an augmenting path P in the graph, and
 - flip the current solution along the path, i.e., $Y \leftarrow Y \bigtriangleup P$.
- The edges in the graph are oriented according to in which matroid the two elements are exchangeable.

Assumption: Independence in each matroid can be tested.





Most of them require separate information of two matroids

<u>Thm.</u> [Edmonds 1970]

 $\mathbf{M}_1, \mathbf{M}_2: \text{Matroids on a common ground set } S$ $\max\{|Y| \mid Y \in \mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2\} = \min\{r_1(Z) + r_2(S \setminus Z) \mid Z \subseteq S\}$

Thm. [Frank 1981]

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{M}_{1}, \mathbf{M}_{2} &: \text{Matroids on a common ground set } S, w: S \to \mathbf{R} \\ \max \left\{ w(Y) \mid Y \in \mathcal{I}_{1}^{(k)} \cap \mathcal{I}_{2}^{(k)} \right\} \quad \left(\mathcal{I}_{j}^{(k)} \coloneqq \left\{ Y \in \mathcal{I}_{j} \mid |Y| = k \right\} \right) \\ &= \min \left\{ \max_{Y_{1} \in \mathcal{I}_{1}^{(k)}} w_{1}(Y_{1}) + \max_{Y_{2} \in \mathcal{I}_{2}^{(k)}} w_{2}(Y_{2}) \mid w_{1} + w_{2} = w \right\} \end{split}$$

Most of them require separate information of two matroids

<u>Thm.</u> [Edmonds 1970]

 $\mathbf{M}_1, \mathbf{M}_2$: Matroids on a common ground set *S*

$$\max\{|Y| \mid Y \in \mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2\} = \min\{r_1(Z) + r_2(S \setminus Z) \mid Z \subseteq S\}$$

Thm. [Frank 1981]

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{M}_{1}, \mathbf{M}_{2} &: \text{Matroids on a common ground set } S, w: S \to \mathbf{R} \\ \max\left\{w(Y) \mid Y \in \mathcal{J}_{1}^{(k)} \cap \mathcal{J}_{2}^{(k)}\right\} \quad \left(\mathcal{J}_{j}^{(k)} \coloneqq \left\{Y \in \mathcal{J}_{j} \mid |Y| = k\right\}\right) \\ &= \min\left\{\max_{Y_{1} \in \mathcal{J}_{1}^{(k)}} w_{1}(Y_{1}) + \max_{Y_{2} \in \mathcal{J}_{2}^{(k)}} w_{2}(Y_{2}) \mid w_{1} + w_{2} = w\right\} \end{split}$$

Watroid Intersection is Tractable"Most of them require separate information of two matroids**LP-relaxation (Primal)**
maximize
$$\sum_{e \in S} w(e)x(e)$$
Dual LP
minimize $\sum_{Z \subseteq S} r_1(Z)y_1(Z) + \sum_{Z \subseteq S} r_2(Z)y_2(Z)$
subject to $\sum_{e \in Z} x(e) \le r_1(Z)$ ($Z \subseteq S$)
 $\sum_{e \in Z} x(e) \le r_2(Z)$ ($Z \subseteq S$)
 $x(e) \ge 0$ ($e \in S$)**Dual LP**
minimize $\sum_{Z \subseteq S} r_1(Z)y_1(Z) + \sum_{Z \subseteq S} r_2(Z)y_2(Z)$
subject to $\sum_{e \in Z} x(e) \le r_2(Z)$ ($Z \subseteq S$)
 $y_1(Z) \ge 0$ ($Z \subseteq S$)
 $y_2(Z) \ge 0$ ($Z \subseteq S$)
 $y_2(Z) \ge 0$ ($Z \subseteq S$)
 $y_2(Z) \ge 0$ ($Z \subseteq S$)Determine the convex hull of
the common independent sets
[Edmonds 1970]• w is integer $\Rightarrow \exists y_i^*$: integer, optimal
 $Z_{i,1} \subseteq Z_{i,2} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq Z_{i,k}$

the common independent sets [Edmonds 1970] •

 $Z_{i,1} \subsetneq Z_{i,2} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq Z_{i,k}$

Most of them require separate information of two matroids

LP-relaxation (Primal)

maximize
$$\sum_{e \in S} w(e)x(e)$$

subject to
$$\sum_{e \in Z} x(e) \le r_1(Z) \ (Z \subseteq S)$$
$$\sum_{e \in Z} x(e) \le r_2(Z) \ (Z \subseteq S)$$
$$x(e) \ge 0 \qquad (e \in S)$$

Determine the convex hull of the common independent sets [Edmonds 1970]

- w is integer $\implies \exists y_i^*$: integer, optimal
- $\exists y_i^*$: optimal s.t. $\operatorname{supp}(y_i^*)$ is a chain $Z_{i,1} \subsetneq Z_{i,2} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq Z_{i,k}$

Most of them require separate information of two matroids

LP-relaxation (Primal) **Dual LP** maximize $\sum_{e \in S} w(e)x(e)$ $\min\{r_1(Z), r_2(Z)\}$ minimize subject to subject to $||z| \le r_{\min}(Z)$ $\overline{e \in Z}(e) \leq r_2 (Z \subseteq S)^S$ $x(e) \ge 0 \qquad (e \in S)$

Determine the convex hull of the common independent sets [Edmonds 1970]

 $\sum r_{\min}(Z)y(Z)$ $\sum_{Z \in S} y(Z) \ge w(e) \quad (e \in S)$ $y(Z) \ge 0 \qquad (Z \subseteq S)$

- w is integer $\implies \exists y^*$: integer, optimal
- $\nexists y^*$: optimal s.t. supp (y^*) is a chain $Z_1 \subsetneq Z_2 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq Z_k$

Most of them require separate information of two matroids

Matroid Matching generalizes Matroid Intersection and Matching

Input: $f: 2^S \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, 2-polymatroid function (oracle) **Goal:** maximize |Y| subject to f(Y) = 2|Y| and $Y \subseteq S$

$$G = (V, E), M$$

[Matroid Intersection]
$$f \coloneqq r_{sum} \coloneqq r_1 + r_2$$

[Matching] $f(F) \coloneqq |V(F)|$ ($F \subseteq E \Longrightarrow S$)

- Matroid matching is hard in general
 - Including NP-hard problems (e.g., Maximum Clique)
 - Instances for which exponentially many oracle calls are necessary
- Tractable for linearly represented matroids [Lovász 1981; Jensen–Korte 1982]
 [Lovász 1980, 1981; ...]
 [Iwata–Kobayashi 2021; Pap 2013]

Most of them require separate information of two matroids

Matroid Matching generalizes Matroid Intersection and Matching

Input: $f: 2^S \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, 2-polymatroid function (oracle) **Goal:** maximize |Y| subject to f(Y) = 2|Y| and $Y \subseteq S$

$$G = (V, E), M$$

[Matroid Intersection]
$$f \coloneqq r_{sum} \coloneqq r_1 + r_2$$

[Matching] $f(F) \coloneqq |V(F)|$ ($F \subseteq E \Longrightarrow S$)

- Matroid matching is hard in general
 - Including NP-hard problems (e.g., Maximum Clique)
 - Instances for which exponentially many oracle calls are necessary
- Tractable for linearly represented matroids [Lovász 1981; Jensen–Korte 1982]
 Tractable for linearly represented matroids [Lovász 1980, 1981; ...] [Iwata–Kobayashi 2021; Pap 2013]

Most of them require separate information of two matroids

What is known

- Efficient Algorithms rely on **separate** oracles for the two matroids
- Max-Min Theorems and the Polyhedral Description are given by using the two rank functions separately

- The resulting combinatorial structure is just $\mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$
- The polytope is completely determined by $r_{\min} = \min\{r_1, r_2\}$
- When it is seen as a special case of Matroid Matching, the input should be $r_{sum} = r_1 + r_2$ (oracle)

What is known

- Efficient Algorithms rely on **separate** oracles for the two matroids
- Max-Min Theorems and the Polyhedral Description are given by using the two rank functions separately

- The resulting combinatorial structure is just $\mathcal{I}_1\cap\mathcal{I}_2$
- The polytope is completely determined by $r_{\min} = \min\{r_1, r_2\}$
- When it is seen as a special case of Matroid Matching, the input should be $r_{sum} = r_1 + r_2$ (oracle)

What is known

- Efficient Algorithms rely on **separate** oracles for the two matroids
- Max-Min Theorems and the Polyhedral Description are given by using the two rank functions separately

- The resulting combinatorial structure is just $\mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$
- The polytope is completely determined by $r_{\min} = \min\{r_1, r_2\}$
- When it is seen as a special case of Matroid Matching, the input should be $r_{sum} = r_1 + r_2$ (oracle)

What is known

- Efficient Algorithms rely on **separate** oracles for the two matroids
- Max-Min Theorems and the Polyhedral Description are given by using the two rank functions separately

- The resulting combinatorial structure is just $\mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$
- The polytope is completely determined by $r_{\min} = \min\{r_1, r_2\}$
- When it is seen as a special case of Matroid Matching, the input should be $r_{sum} = r_1 + r_2$ (oracle)

Matroid Intersection under Restricted Oracles

Question

Is matroid intersection tractable if we only get the following information?

For each subset $X \subseteq S$,

[CI] whether $X \in \mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$ or not, [MIN] $r_{\min}(X) = \min\{r_1(X), r_2(X)\},$ [SUM] $r_{\sup}(X) = r_1(X) + r_2(X),$ or [MAX] $r_{\max}(X) = \max\{r_1(X), r_2(X)\}.$

<u>Obs.</u> MAX is too weak as it gives no information on the second matroid if the first matroid is free, i.e., $r_1(X) = |X| \quad (\forall X \subseteq S)$.

Results and Open Problems

What we know (Results)

- Relation between Restricted Oracles
- SUM and CI+MAX can solve Weighted in general
- MIN can solve Unweighted in general, and Weighted in some cases
- CI can solve Unweighted/Weighted in some cases

What we want to know (Open)

- Can MIN solve Weighted in general? Or, is it hard?
- Can CI solve Unweighted/Weighted in general? Or, is it hard?

[CI] whether $X \in \mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$ or not [MIN] $r_{\min}(X) = \min\{r_1(X), r_2(X)\}$ [SUM] $r_{\sup}(X) = r_1(X) + r_2(X)$ [MAX] $r_{\max}(X) = \max\{r_1(X), r_2(X)\}$

[Result 1] Relation between Restricted Oracles



[CI] whether $X \in \mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$ or not [MIN] $r_{\min}(X) = \min\{r_1(X), r_2(X)\}$ [SUM] $r_{\sup}(X) = r_1(X) + r_2(X)$ [MAX] $r_{\max}(X) = \max\{r_1(X), r_2(X)\}$

- A ----> B (reachable) means the oracle B is always emulated by using the oracle A
- A -/-> B (unreachable) means
 ∃matroid intersection instances
 s.t. B can distinguish them

but A cannot

ractable

[Result 2] Unweighted Matroid Intersection



[Result 3] Weighted Matroid Intersection



[CI] whether $X \in \mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$ or not [MIN] $r_{\min}(X) = \min\{r_1(X), r_2(X)\}$ [SUM] $r_{\sup}(X) = r_1(X) + r_2(X)$ [MAX] $r_{\max}(X) = \max\{r_1(X), r_2(X)\}$

MIN is tractable if

- every circuit in one matroid is small (FPT), or
- no pair of circuits s.t. one includes the other.

CI is tractable if one matroid is an elementary split matroid.

Outline

- Overview: Question and Results
- Matroid Intersection (Basics)
 - Matroid and Matroid Intersection
 - Augmenting-Path Algorithms and Exchangeability Graph
- Matroid Intersection under Restricted Oracles
 - First Step: What can be done in general by Common Independence Oracle
 - Results on Each Restricted Oracle
- Matroid Intersection under Minimum Rank Oracle
 - How to Solve Unweighted Problem
 - Results on Weighted Problem

Matroid (Notation)

M: Matroid on a ground set S

- $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^S$: Independent set family
- $\mathcal{B} \subseteq 2^S$: **Base (Basis)** family
- $\mathcal{C} \subseteq 2^S$: **Circuit** family
- $r: 2^S \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, **Rank** function; $r(X) \coloneqq \max\{|Y| \mid Y \subseteq X, Y \in \mathcal{I}\}$
- cl: $2^S \rightarrow 2^S$, **Closure** operator; cl(X) := { $e \in S \mid r(X \cup \{e\}) = r(X)$ }

Matroid (Examples)

Partition Matroid

 $S = S_1 \uplus S_2 \uplus \cdots \uplus S_k, \ \mathcal{I} = \{ Y \subseteq S \mid |Y \cap S_i| \le 1 \ (\forall i \in [k]) \}$

- Cycle Matroid (Graphic Matroid) G = (V, E): undirected graph $S = E, \mathcal{I} = \{Y \subseteq S \mid Y \text{ forms a forest (contains no cycle})\}$ Paving Matroid
 - Nearly Uniform: $\forall C \in C, r(S) \leq |C| \leq r(S) + 1$

Generalized

• Hypergraph Representation: $\exists r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \exists \mathcal{H} \subseteq \binom{S}{>r}$,

Elementary Split Matroid



Matroid (Examples)

• Partition Matroid

 $S = S_1 \uplus S_2 \uplus \cdots \uplus S_k, \ \mathcal{I} = \{ Y \subseteq S \mid |Y \cap S_i| \le 1 \ (\forall i \in [k]) \}$

- Cycle Matroid (Graphic Matroid) G = (V, E): undirected graph $S = E, \ \mathcal{I} = \{Y \subseteq S \mid Y \text{ forms a forest (contains no cycle})\}$
- Paving Matroid
 - Nearly Uniform: $\forall C \in C, r(S) \leq |C| \leq r(S) + 1$

Generalized

Elementary Split Matroid

• Hypergraph Representation: $\exists r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \exists \mathcal{H} \subseteq {S \choose > r}$,

 $|H_1 \cap H_2| \le r - 2 \ (\forall H_1, H_2 \in \mathcal{H}),$ $\mathcal{B} = \{ Y \subseteq S \mid |Y| = r, \ Y \nsubseteq H \ (\forall H \in \mathcal{H}) \}$



 H_3

Matroid (Examples)

• Partition Matroid

 $S = S_1 \uplus S_2 \uplus \cdots \uplus S_k, \ \mathcal{I} = \{ Y \subseteq S \mid |Y \cap S_i| \le 1 \ (\forall i \in [k]) \}$

- Cycle Matroid (Graphic Matroid) G = (V, E): undirected graph $S = E, \ \mathcal{I} = \{Y \subseteq S \mid Y \text{ forms a forest (contains no cycle)} \}$
- Paving Matroid
 - Nearly Uniform: $\forall C \in C, r(S) \leq |C| \leq r(S) + 1$

Generalized

[BKSYY 2023]

Elementary Split Matroid

• Hypergraph Representation: $\exists r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \exists \mathcal{H} \subseteq {S \choose > r}$,

 $|H_1 \cap H_2| \le r - 2 \quad (\forall H_1, H_2 \in \mathcal{H}),$ $\mathcal{B} = \{ Y \subseteq S \mid |Y| = r, \ Y \not\subseteq H \ (\forall H \in \mathcal{H}) \}$




Matroid Intersection Problem (Unweighted)

Input: S: Finite set, $\mathbf{M}_1, \mathbf{M}_2$: Matroids on S (oracle) **Goal:** maximize |Y| subject to $Y \in \mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$

- Usually, separate oracles are given, i.e., we can ask for each subset $X \subseteq S$ and each i = 1, 2, whether $X \in \mathcal{I}_i$ or not, the rank $r_i(X)$, etc.
- Many Applications (Special Cases)
 - Bipartite matching: Partition + Partition
 - Arborescence (packing): Partition + Graphic (unions)
 - Dijoin: Partition + Crossing Submodular Function [Frank-Tardos 1981]

Matroid Intersection Problem (Weighted)

Input: S: Finite set, $\mathbf{M}_1, \mathbf{M}_2$: Matroids on S (oracle), $w: S \to \mathbf{R}$ **Goal:** maximize w(Y) subject to $Y \in \mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$ (and |Y| = k for each k)

- Usually, separate oracles are given, i.e., we can ask for each subset $X \subseteq S$ and each i = 1, 2, whether $X \in \mathcal{I}_i$ or not, the rank $r_i(X)$, etc.
- Many Applications (Special Cases)
 - Bipartite matching: Partition + Partition
 - Arborescence (packing): Partition + Graphic (unions)
 - Dijoin: Partition + Crossing Submodular Function [Frank-Tardos 1981]

Matroid Intersection Problem (Weighted)

Input: S: Finite set, $\mathbf{M}_1, \mathbf{M}_2$: Matroids on S (oracle), $w: S \to \mathbf{R}$ **Goal:** maximize w(Y) subject to $Y \in \mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$ (and |Y| = k for each k)

- Usually, **separate oracles** are given, i.e., we can ask for each subset $X \subseteq S$ and each i = 1, 2, whether $X \in \mathcal{I}_i$ or not, the rank $r_i(X)$, etc.
- The goal of this study is to clarify what happens if the oracle is restricted:
 [CI] whether X ∈ J₁ ∩ J₂ or not,
 [MIN] r_{min}(X) = min{r₁(X), r₂(X)}, or
 [SUM] r_{sum}(X) = r₁(X) + r₂(X).

"Matroid Intersection is Tractable"

De Most of them require separate information of two matroids

Basic Strategy of Efficient Algorithms

- Starting with $Y = \emptyset$, repeatedly update the current solution Y.
- For each update,
 - \circ construct the exchangeability graph w.r.t. Y,
 - \circ find an augmenting path P in the graph, and
 - flip the current solution along the path, i.e., $Y \leftarrow Y \bigtriangleup P$.
- The edges in the graph are oriented according to in which matroid the two elements are exchangeable.

Assumption: Independence in each matroid can be tested.





Exchangeability Graph

 $\mathbf{M}_1, \mathbf{M}_2$: Matroids on a common ground set $S, Y \in \mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$ Def. $D[Y] = (S \setminus Y, Y; A[Y])$: **Exchangeability Graph** w.r.t. Y • $A[Y] = A_1[Y] \cup A_2[Y]$, where X $\circ A_1[Y] \coloneqq \{ (y, x) \mid Y - y + x \in \mathcal{I}_1 \}$ $\circ A_2[Y] \coloneqq \{ (x, y) \mid Y - y + x \in \mathcal{I}_2 \}$ • $S_1 \coloneqq \{x \mid Y + x \in \mathcal{I}_1\}$ (Sources) • $S_2 \coloneqq \{x \mid Y + x \in \mathcal{I}_2\}$ (Sinks)

Augmentability (Unweighted)

- **<u>Thm.</u>** M_1, M_2 : Matroids on a common ground set $S, Y \in \mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$ $D[Y] = (S \setminus Y, Y; A[Y])$: Exchangeability Graph w.r.t. Y
 - If D[Y] has no S_1 – S_2 path, then |Y| is maximum.
 - If P is a shortest $S_1 S_2$ path in D[Y], then $Y \bigtriangleup P \in \mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$.

 $O(nr^2)$ time in total, where $r \coloneqq \text{opt. value} \le n$

- D[Y] is constructed by O(nr) oracle calls
- P is found by BFS in linear time (n vertices, O(nr) edges)
- #(iteration) is r + 1



Augmentability (Weighted)

<u>Thm.</u> M_1, M_2 : Matroids on a common ground set S $Y \in \operatorname{argmax} \left\{ w(X) \mid X \in \mathcal{I}_1^{(k)} \cap \mathcal{I}_2^{(k)} \right\} \quad (k = |Y|)$ $D[Y] = (S \setminus Y, Y; A[Y])$: Exchangeability Graph w.r.t. Y $\operatorname{cost}(P) \coloneqq w(P \cap Y) - w(P \setminus Y) \quad (P: \operatorname{path/cycle})$

- *D*[*Y*] has **no negative-cost cycle**.
- If *P* is a **shortest cheapest** $S_1 S_2$ path in D[Y], then $Y \bigtriangleup P \in \operatorname{argmax} \left\{ w(X) \mid X \in \mathcal{I}_1^{(k+1)} \cap \mathcal{I}_2^{(k+1)} \right\}$.

 $O(n^2r^2)$ time in total (Bellman–Ford, Weight Splitting, etc.)



Outline

- Overview: Question and Results
- Matroid Intersection (Basics)
 - Matroid and Matroid Intersection
 - Augmenting-Path Algorithms and Exchangeability Graph
- Matroid Intersection under Restricted Oracles
 - First Step: What can be done in general by Common Independence Oracle
 - Results on Each Restricted Oracle
- Matroid Intersection under Minimum Rank Oracle
 - How to Solve Unweighted Problem
 - Results on Weighted Problem

Exchangeability Graph via Restricted Oracles

 $\mathbf{M}_1, \mathbf{M}_2$: Matroids on a common ground set $S, Y \in \mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$ Def. $D[Y] = (S \setminus Y, Y; A[Y])$: **Exchangeability Graph** w.r.t. Y • $A[Y] = A_1[Y] \cup A_2[Y]$, where X $\circ A_1[Y] \coloneqq \{ (y, x) \mid Y - y + x \in \mathcal{I}_1 \}$ $\circ A_2[Y] \coloneqq \{ (x, y) \mid Y - y + x \in \mathcal{I}_2 \}$ • $S_1 \coloneqq \{ x \mid Y + x \in \mathcal{I}_1 \}$ (Sources) • $S_2 \coloneqq \{ x \mid Y + x \in \mathcal{I}_2 \}$ (Sinks)

Sources and Sinks via Restricted Oracles

$$S_i \coloneqq \{ x \mid Y + x \in \mathcal{I}_i \} \ (i = 1, 2)$$

•
$$r \in S_1 \cap S_2 \iff Y + r \in \mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$$

This can be recognized by CI, and hence by MIN or SUM.

•
$$s \in S_1 \setminus S_2$$
, $t \in S_2 \setminus S_1 \Leftrightarrow$
• $r_1(Y+s) = |Y| + 1$, $r_2(Y+s) = |Y|$
• $r_1(Y+t) = |Y|$, $r_2(Y+t) = |Y| + 1$
• $r_1(Y+s+t) = |Y| + 1$, $r_2(Y+s+t) = |Y| + 1$
This can be recognized (up to symmetry) by MIN.
Even in the SUM or CI case, we can try all possible pairs.

[CI] whether $X \in \mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$ or not

[MIN] $r_{\min}(X) = \min\{r_1(X), r_2(X)\}$

[SUM] $r_{sum}(X) = r_1(X) + r_2(X)$

Sources and Sinks via Restricted Oracles

$$S_i \coloneqq \{ x \mid Y + x \in \mathcal{I}_i \} \ (i = 1, 2)$$

•
$$r \in S_1 \cap S_2 \iff Y + r \in \mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$$

[CI] whether $X \in \mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$ or not [MIN] $r_{\min}(X) = \min\{r_1(X), r_2(X)\}$ [SUM] $r_{\sup}(X) = r_1(X) + r_2(X)$

1

This can be recognized by CI, and hence by MIN or SUM.

•
$$s \in S_1 \setminus S_2$$
, $t \in S_2 \setminus S_1 \iff$
• $r_1(Y+s) = |Y| + 1$, $r_2(Y+s) = |Y|$
• $r_1(Y+t) = |Y|$, $r_2(Y+t) = |Y| + 1$
• $r_1(Y+s+t) = |Y| + 1$, $r_2(Y+s+t) = |Y| + 1$
This can be recognized (up to symmetry) by MIN.

Even in the SUM or CI case, we can try all possible pairs.



Exchangeability Graph via Restricted Oracles

 $\mathbf{M}_1, \mathbf{M}_2$: Matroids on a common ground set $S, Y \in \mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$ Def. $D[Y] = (S \setminus Y, Y; A[Y])$: **Exchangeability Graph** w.r.t. Y • $A[Y] = A_1[Y] \cup A_2[Y]$, where $\circ A_1[Y] \coloneqq \{ (y, x) \mid Y - y + x \in \mathcal{I}_1 \}$ $\circ A_2[Y] \coloneqq \{ (x, y) \mid Y - y + x \in \mathcal{I}_2 \}$ $\checkmark S_1 \coloneqq \{ x \mid Y + x \in \mathcal{I}_1 \} \text{ (Sources)}$ $\checkmark S_2 \coloneqq \{ x \mid Y + x \in \mathcal{I}_2 \} \text{ (Sinks)}$

Exchange Edges via Restricted Oracles

$$A_1[Y] \coloneqq \{ (y, x) \mid Y - y + x \in \mathcal{I}_1 \} \curvearrowright I_2$$

$$A_2[Y] \coloneqq \{ (x, y) \mid Y - y + x \in \mathcal{I}_2 \} \circ I_2$$

[CI] whether $X \in \mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$ or not [MIN] $r_{\min}(X) = \min\{r_1(X), r_2(X)\}$ [SUM] $r_{\sup}(X) = r_1(X) + r_2(X)$

• $(y, x) \in A_1[Y], (x, y) \in A_2[Y]$ $\Leftrightarrow Y - y + x \in \mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$

This can be recognized by CI, and hence by MIN or SUM.

- Difficult to recognize edges in one direction...
 - SUM (or CI+MAX) is strong enough to emulate Bellman–Ford (Weighted)
 - MIN can emulate BFS (Unweighted), and it is somewhat extendable
 - CI only solves some special cases, and seems too weak in general (???)

Exchange Edges via Restricted Oracles

$$A_1[Y] \coloneqq \{ (y, x) \mid Y - y + x \in \mathcal{I}_1 \} \curvearrowright I_2$$

$$A_2[Y] \coloneqq \{ (x, y) \mid Y - y + x \in \mathcal{I}_2 \} \circ I_2$$

[CI] whether $X \in \mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$ or not [MIN] $r_{\min}(X) = \min\{r_1(X), r_2(X)\}$ [SUM] $r_{\sup}(X) = r_1(X) + r_2(X)$

•
$$(y, x) \in A_1[Y], (x, y) \in A_2[Y]$$

 $\Leftrightarrow Y - y + x \in \mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$

This can be recognized by CI, and hence by MIN or SUM.

- Difficult to recognize edges in one direction...
 - SUM (or CI+MAX) is strong enough to emulate Bellman–Ford (Weighted)
 - MIN can emulate BFS (Unweighted), and it is somewhat extendable
 - CI only solves some special cases, and seems too weak in general (???)

Outline

- Overview: Question and Results
- Matroid Intersection (Basics)
 - Matroid and Matroid Intersection
 - Augmenting-Path Algorithms and Exchangeability Graph
- Matroid Intersection under Restricted Oracles
 - First Step: What can be done in general by Common Independence Oracle
 - Results on Each Restricted Oracle
- Matroid Intersection under Minimum Rank Oracle
 - How to Solve Unweighted Problem
 - Results on Weighted Problem

Unweighted Matroid Intersection via MIN [Bárász 2006]

Emulate a usual algorithm on the **Overestimated** Exchangeability Graph

- Sources, Sinks, and Edges around them are correctly recognized (up to sym.).
- Other edges are overestimated so that if an edge *e* is wrongly estimated, there is a correct **shortcut** skipping *e*.
 - \rightarrow None of such fake edges is used in a shortest path!

<u>Thm.</u> $Y \in \mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$, D[Y]: Exchangeability Graph w.r.t. Y

- If D[Y] has no $S_1 S_2$ path, then |Y| is maximum.
- If *P* is a **shortest** $S_1 S_2$ path in D[Y], then $Y \triangle P \in \mathcal{J}_1 \cap \mathcal{J}_2$.

Unweighted Matroid Intersection via MIN [Bárász 2006]

Emulate a usual algorithm on the **Overestimated** Exchangeability Graph

- Sources, Sinks, and Edges around them are correctly recognized (up to sym.).
- Other edges are overestimated so that if an edge *e* is wrongly estimated, there is a correct **shortcut** skipping *e*.
 - → None of such fake edges is used in a shortest path!

<u>Thm.</u> $Y \in \mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$, D[Y]: Exchangeability Graph w.r.t. Y

- If D[Y] has no S_1 – S_2 path, then |Y| is maximum.
- If P is a **shortest** $S_1 S_2$ path in D[Y], then $Y \bigtriangleup P \in \mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$.

Assume $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$, $s \in S_1$, $t \in S_2$

- $Y + s \in \mathcal{I}_1 \setminus \mathcal{I}_2$, $Y + t \in \mathcal{I}_2 \setminus \mathcal{I}_1$
- $\forall y \in Y$,
 - $\circ (y,t) \in A_1[Y] \iff r_1(Y-y+t) = |Y| \iff r_{\min}(Y-y+t) = |Y|$
 - $\circ \ (s,y) \in A_2[Y] \iff r_2(Y-y+t) = |Y| \iff r_{\min}(Y-y+s) = |Y|$
- Suppose that $\forall x \in S \setminus (Y \cup S_1 \cup S_2), \forall y \in Y$,
 - Estimate $\exists (y, x) \Leftrightarrow (y, x) \in A_1[Y]$ or $(y, t) \in A_1[Y]$
 - Estimate $\exists (x, y) \Leftrightarrow (x, y) \in A_2[Y]$ or $(s, y) \in A_2[Y]$

Enough to find a shortest path! (Wrong $\implies \exists$ Shortcut)



 $S_i = \{ x \mid Y + x \in \mathcal{I}_i \} \ (i = 1, 2)$

 $A_1[Y] = \{ (y, x) \mid Y - y + x \in \mathcal{I}_1 \}$

 $A_{2}[Y] = \{ (x, y) \mid Y - y + x \in \mathcal{I}_{2} \}$

Assume $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$, $s \in S_1$, $t \in S_2$

• $Y + s \in \mathcal{I}_1 \setminus \mathcal{I}_2, \ Y + t \in \mathcal{I}_2 \setminus \mathcal{I}_1$

 $S_{i} = \{ x \mid Y + x \in \mathcal{I}_{i} \} (i = 1, 2)$ $A_{1}[Y] = \{ (y, x) \mid Y - y + x \in \mathcal{I}_{1} \}$ $A_{2}[Y] = \{ (x, y) \mid Y - y + x \in \mathcal{I}_{2} \}$

- $\forall y \in Y$,
 - $\circ (y,t) \in A_1[Y] \iff r_1(Y-y+t) = |Y| \iff r_{\min}(Y-y+t) = |Y|$
 - $\circ (s, y) \in A_2[Y] \iff r_2(Y y + t) = |Y| \iff r_{\min}(Y y + s) = |Y|$
- Suppose that $\forall x \in S \setminus (Y \cup S_1 \cup S_2), \forall y \in Y$,
 - Estimate $\exists (y, x) \Leftrightarrow (y, x) \in A_1[Y]$ or $(y, t) \in A_1[Y]$
 - Estimate $\exists (x, y) \Leftrightarrow (x, y) \in A_2[Y]$ or $(s, y) \in A_2[Y]$

Enough to find a shortest path! (Wrong $\implies \exists$ Shortcut)



Assume $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$, $s \in S_1$, $t \in S_2$

- $Y + s \in \mathcal{I}_1 \setminus \mathcal{I}_2, \ Y + t \in \mathcal{I}_2 \setminus \mathcal{I}_1$
- $\forall y \in Y$,
 - $\circ (y,t) \in A_1[Y] \iff r_1(Y-y+t) = |Y| \iff r_{\min}(Y-y+t) = |Y|$
 - $\circ \ (s,y) \in A_2[Y] \iff r_2(Y-y+t) = |Y| \iff r_{\min}(Y-y+s) = |Y|$
- Suppose that $\forall x \in S \setminus (Y \cup S_1 \cup S_2), \forall y \in Y$,
 - Estimate $\exists (y, x) \Leftrightarrow (y, x) \in A_1[Y] \text{ or } (y, t) \in A_1[Y]$
 - Estimate $\exists (x, y) \Leftrightarrow (x, y) \in A_2[Y] \text{ or } (s, y) \in A_2[Y]$

Enough to find a shortest path! (Wrong $\implies \exists$ Shortcut)



 $S_i = \{ x \mid Y + x \in \mathcal{I}_i \} \ (i = 1, 2)$

 $A_1[Y] = \{ (y, x) \mid Y - y + x \in \mathcal{I}_1 \}$

 $A_{2}[Y] = \{ (x, y) \mid Y - y + x \in \mathcal{I}_{2} \}$

Assume $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$, $s \in S_1$, $t \in S_2$

- $Y + s \in \mathcal{I}_1 \setminus \mathcal{I}_2$, $Y + t \in \mathcal{I}_2 \setminus \mathcal{I}_1$
- Estimate $\exists (y, x) \ (x \in S \setminus (Y \cup S_1 \cup S_2), y \in Y)$ $\Leftrightarrow (y, x) \in A_1[Y] \text{ or } (y, t) \in A_1[Y]$ $\Leftrightarrow r_1(Y - y + x) = |Y| \text{ or } r_1(Y - y + t) = |Y|$

 $S_{i} = \{ x \mid Y + x \in \mathcal{I}_{i} \} (i = 1, 2)$ $A_{1}[Y] = \{ (y, x) \mid Y - y + x \in \mathcal{I}_{1} \}$ $A_{2}[Y] = \{ (x, y) \mid Y - y + x \in \mathcal{I}_{2} \}$



Assume $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$, $s \in S_1$, $t \in S_2$

- $Y + s \in \mathcal{I}_1 \setminus \mathcal{I}_2$, $Y + t \in \mathcal{I}_2 \setminus \mathcal{I}_1$
- Estimate $\exists (y, x) \ (x \in S \setminus (Y \cup S_1 \cup S_2), y \in Y)$ X 0**<-** $\Leftrightarrow (y, x) \in A_1[Y] \text{ or } (y, t) \in A_1[Y]$ $\Leftrightarrow r_1(Y - y + x) = |Y| \text{ or } r_1(Y - y + t) = |Y|$ $f \circ S_2$ $\begin{cases} Y_{xt} \coloneqq Y + x + t \\ Y_x \coloneqq Y + x \\ Y_x \coloneqq Y + x \\ Y_x \mapsto Y + x \\ Y = Y + x \\ Y$ \Leftrightarrow $r_1(Y - y + x + t) = |Y|$ $\begin{pmatrix} |Y| = r_1(Y_{xt}) \ge r_1(Y_{xt} - y) \ge \max\{r_1(Y_x - y), r_1(Y_t - y)\} \\ \hline x, t \in S \setminus S_1 = cl_1(Y) \\ r_1(Y_x - y) + r_1(Y_t - y) \ge r_1(Y_{xt} - y) + \underline{r_1(Y - y)} \end{pmatrix}$

 $S_i = \{ x \mid Y + x \in \mathcal{I}_i \} \ (i = 1, 2)$

 $A_1[Y] = \{ (y, x) \mid Y - y + x \in \mathcal{I}_1 \}$

 $A_{2}[Y] = \{ (x, y) \mid Y - y + x \in \mathcal{I}_{2} \}$

Assume $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$, $s \in S_1$, $t \in S_2$

- $Y + s \in \mathcal{I}_1 \setminus \mathcal{I}_2$, $Y + t \in \mathcal{I}_2 \setminus \mathcal{I}_1$
- Estimate $\exists (y, x) \ (x \in S \setminus (Y \cup S_1 \cup S_2), y \in Y)$ $\Leftrightarrow (y, x) \in A_1[Y] \text{ or } (y, t) \in A_1[Y]$ $\Leftrightarrow r_1(Y - y + x) = |Y| \text{ or } r_1(Y - y + t) = |Y|$ $\Leftrightarrow r_1(Y - y + x + t) = |Y|$ $\Leftrightarrow r_{\min}(Y - y + x + t) = |Y|$ $(r_2(Y - y + x + t) \ge r_2(Y + t) - 1 = |Y|)$

 $S_{i} = \{ x \mid Y + x \in \mathcal{I}_{i} \} (i = 1, 2)$ $A_{1}[Y] = \{ (y, x) \mid Y - y + x \in \mathcal{I}_{1} \}$ $A_{2}[Y] = \{ (x, y) \mid Y - y + x \in \mathcal{I}_{2} \}$



Assume $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$, $s \in S_1$, $t \in S_2$

- $Y + s \in \mathcal{I}_1 \setminus \mathcal{I}_2$, $Y + t \in \mathcal{I}_2 \setminus \mathcal{I}_1$
- Estimate $\exists (y, x) \ (x \in S \setminus (Y \cup S_1 \cup S_2), y \in Y) \ x \in (y, x) \in A_1[Y] \text{ or } (y, t) \in A_1[Y]$ $\Leftrightarrow (y, x) \in A_1[Y] \text{ or } (y, t) \in A_1[Y]$ $\Leftrightarrow r_1(Y - y + x) = |Y| \text{ or } r_1(Y - y + t) = |Y|$ $\Leftrightarrow r_1(Y - y + x + t) = |Y|$ $\Leftrightarrow r_{\min}(Y - y + x + t) = |Y|$ $(s \circ A_1[Y] = A_1[Y]$
- Estimate $\exists (x, y) \Leftrightarrow r_{\min}(Y y + x + s) = |Y|$

$$\begin{split} S_i &= \{ x \mid Y + x \in \mathcal{I}_i \} \ (i = 1, 2) \\ A_1[Y] &= \{ (y, x) \mid Y - y + x \in \mathcal{I}_1 \} \\ A_2[Y] &= \{ (x, y) \mid Y - y + x \in \mathcal{I}_2 \} \end{split}$$





Unweighted Matroid Intersection via MIN [Bárász 2006]

Emulate a usual algorithm on the **Overestimated** Exchangeability Graph

- Sources, Sinks, and Edges around them are correctly recognized (up to sym.).
- Other edges are overestimated so that if an edge *e* is wrongly estimated, there is a correct **shortcut** skipping *e*.
 - → None of such fake edges is used in a shortest path!

<u>Thm.</u> $Y \in \mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$, D[Y]: Exchangeability Graph w.r.t. Y

- If D[Y] has no S_1 – S_2 path, then |Y| is maximum.
- If P is a **shortest** $S_1 S_2$ path in D[Y], then $Y \bigtriangleup P \in \mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$.

Unweighted Matroid Intersection via MIN [Bárász 2006]

Emulate a usual algorithm on the **Overestimated** Exchangeability Graph

- Sources, Sinks, and Edges around them are correctly recognized (up to sym.).
- Other edges are overestimated so that if an edge *e* is wrongly estimated, there is a correct **shortcut** skipping *e*.
 - \rightarrow None of such fake edges is used in a shortest path!
- When the algorithm halts, a dual optimal solution is found by reachability.

Thm. max{
$$|Y| | Y \in \mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$$
} = min{ $r_{\min}(Z) + r_{\min}(S \setminus Z) | Z \subseteq S$ }

$$|Y| = \underline{r_{\min}(Y \cap Z)} + \underline{r_{\min}(Y \setminus Z)} \le r_{\min}(Z) + r_{\min}(S \setminus Z) \le r_1(Z) + r_2(S \setminus Z)$$
$$= |Y \cap Z| = |Y \setminus Z|$$

Try to emulate usual algorithms on the Overestimated Exchangeability Graph

- Sources, Sinks, and Edges around them are correctly recognized (up to sym.).
- Other edges are overestimated so that if an edge *e* is wrongly estimated, there is a correct shortcut skipping *e*.
 - Such fake edges may be used in a (shortest) cheapest path!
 - They may cause negative-cost cycles! (NP-hard !?)

<u>Thm.</u> $Y \in \mathcal{I}_1^{(k)} \cap \mathcal{I}_2^{(k)}$: Max-Weight, $cost(P) \coloneqq w(P \cap Y) - w(P \setminus Y)$ (*P*: path/cycle)

- *D*[*Y*] has **no negative-cost cycle**.
- If P is a shortest cheapest $S_1 S_2$ path in D[Y], then $Y \triangle P \in \mathcal{J}_1^{(k+1)} \cap \mathcal{J}_2^{(k+1)}$ is max-weight.

Try to emulate usual algorithms on the Overestimated Exchangeability Graph

- Sources, Sinks, and Edges around them are correctly recognized (up to sym.).
- Other edges are overestimated so that if an edge *e* is wrongly estimated, there is a correct shortcut skipping *e*.
 - Such fake edges may be used in a (shortest) cheapest path!
 - They may cause negative-cost cycles! (NP-hard !?)

<u>Thm.</u> $Y \in \mathcal{I}_1^{(k)} \cap \mathcal{I}_2^{(k)}$: Max-Weight, $\operatorname{cost}(P) \coloneqq w(P \cap Y) - w(P \setminus Y)$ (*P*: path/cycle)

- *D*[*Y*] has **no negative-cost cycle**.
- If P is a shortest cheapest $S_1 S_2$ path in D[Y], then $Y \triangle P \in \mathcal{I}_1^{(k+1)} \cap \mathcal{I}_2^{(k+1)}$ is max-weight.

Try to emulate usual algorithms on the Overestimated Exchangeability Graph

- Sources, Sinks, and Edges around them are correctly recognized (up to sym.).
- Other edges are overestimated so that if an edge *e* is wrongly estimated, there is a correct shortcut skipping *e*.
 - Such fake edges may be used in a (shortest) cheapest path!
 - They may cause negative-cost cycles! (NP-hard !?)
- Extra information from at most two-by-two exchanges may refine the graph!
- Any graph consistent with all the extra information is suitable for emulation!!
- Finding a consistent graph is NP-hard... (4-coloring of 3-colorable graphs)

Extra Info. from Two-by-Two Exchange [BBKYY 2023+]

Extra information from **at most two-by-two exchanges** may refine the graph!

- $r_{\min}(Y y + x) = |Y| \iff x \longleftarrow y$
- Otherwise,

$$\circ r_{\min}(Y - y_1 - y_2 + x) = |Y| - 1 \in$$

•
$$r_{\min}(Y - y + x_1 + x_2) = |Y|$$

• Otherwise (none of the above holds),





Extra Info. from Two-by-Two Exchange [BBKYY 2023+]

Extra information from at most two-by-two exchanges may refine the graph!

- $r_{\min}(Y y + x) = |Y| \iff x \longleftarrow y$
- Otherwise,

∘
$$r_{\min}(Y - y_1 - y_2 + x) = |Y| - 1 \iff$$

•
$$r_{\min}(Y - y + x_1 + x_2) = |Y|$$

• Otherwise (none of the above holds), $r_{\min}(Y - y_1 - y_2 + x_1 + x_2) = |Y| - 1 \iff$





Extra Info. from Two-by-Two Exchange [BBKYY 2023+]

Extra information from at most two-by-two exchanges may refine the graph!

- $r_{\min}(Y y + x) = |Y| \iff x \longleftarrow y$
- Otherwise,

$$\circ r_{\min}(Y - y_1 - y_2 + x) = |Y| - 1 \iff$$

•
$$r_{\min}(Y - y + x_1 + x_2) = |Y|$$

• Otherwise (none of the above holds),

$$r_{\min}(Y - y_1 - y_2 + x_1 + x_2) = |Y| - 1$$



Try to emulate usual algorithms on the Overestimated Exchangeability Graph

- Sources, Sinks, and Edges around them are correctly recognized (up to sym.).
- Other edges are overestimated so that if an edge *e* is wrongly estimated, there is a correct shortcut skipping *e*.
 - Such fake edges may be used in a (shortest) cheapest path!
 - They may cause negative-cost cycles! (NP-hard !?)
- Extra information from at most two-by-two exchanges may refine the graph!
- Any graph consistent with all the extra information is suitable for emulation!!
- Finding a consistent graph is NP-hard... (4-coloring of 3-colorable graphs)

Any graph consistent with all the extra information is suitable for emulation!!

Thm.
$$Y \in \operatorname{argmax} \left\{ w(X) \mid X \in \mathcal{I}_{1}^{(k)} \cap \mathcal{I}_{2}^{(k)} \right\} \ (k = |Y|)$$

 $D[Y]$: Exchangeability Graph w.r.t. Y
 $\widetilde{D}[Y]$: Subgraph of the overestimation **consistent with all the extra info**.
 $\operatorname{cost}(P) \coloneqq w(P \cap Y) - w(P \setminus Y) \ (P: \operatorname{path}/\operatorname{cycle})$

- $\widetilde{D}[Y]$ has **no negative-cost cycle**.
- $\forall \tilde{P}$: shortest cheapest $S_1 S_2$ path in $\tilde{D}[Y]$, $\exists P$: shortest cheapest $S_1 - S_2$ path in D[Y] with the same vertex set, and vice versa.

Try to emulate usual algorithms on the Overestimated Exchangeability Graph

- Sources, Sinks, and Edges around them are correctly recognized (up to sym.).
- Other edges are overestimated so that if an edge *e* is wrongly estimated, there is a correct shortcut skipping *e*.
 - Such fake edges may be used in a (shortest) cheapest path!
 - They may cause negative-cost cycles! (NP-hard !?)
- Extra information from at most two-by-two exchanges may refine the graph!
- Any graph consistent with all the extra information is suitable for emulation!!
- Finding a consistent graph is NP-hard... (4-coloring of 3-colorable graphs)

Tractable cases of WMI via MIN [BBKYY 2023+]

- When $\forall C_1 \in \mathcal{C}_1, \forall C_2 \in \mathcal{C}_2, C_1 \nsubseteq C_2 \text{ and } C_2 \nsubseteq C_1$
 - \rightarrow Finding a consistent graph is reduced to 2-SAT
- When $\exists i \in \{1, 2\}, \forall C \in C_i, |C| \le k$ $\rightarrow O(2^k \cdot \operatorname{poly}(n))$ time by 2-SAT + Brute-Force Guess
- Lexicographical Maximization
 - Max. #(heaviest); Sub. to this, Max. #(second heaviest); and so on
 - Update with preserving the numbers of heavier elements can be done via Underestimation of the Exchangeability Graph (by 2-SAT)
 - Approximation with factor 2 or better for the original problem [BKYY 2022]
Extra Info. from Two-by-Two Exchange [BBKYY 2023+]

Extra information from at most two-by-two exchanges may refine the graph!

 \longrightarrow

• $r_{\min}(Y - y + x) = |Y| \iff x \longleftarrow y$

• Otherwise,

$$\circ r_{\min}(Y - y_1 - y_2 + x) = |Y| - 1 \iff$$

•
$$r_{\min}(Y - y + x_1 + x_2) = |Y|$$

2-SAT works! (Easy)

• Otherwise (none of the above holds),

$$r_{\min}(Y - y_1 - y_2 + x_1 + x_2) = |Y| - 1 \iff$$

Can represent 4-coloring (Hard)



Tractable cases of WMI via MIN [BBKYY 2023+]

• When $\forall C_1 \in \mathcal{C}_1, \forall C_2 \in \mathcal{C}_2, \ C_1 \not\subseteq C_2 \text{ and } C_2 \not\subseteq C_1$

 \rightarrow Finding a consistent graph is reduced to **2-SAT**

- When $\exists i \in \{1, 2\}, \forall C \in C_i, |C| \le k$ $\rightarrow O\left(2^k \cdot \operatorname{poly}(n)\right)$ time by 2-SAT + Brute-Force Guess
- Lexicographical Maximization
 - Max. #(heaviest); Sub. to this, Max. #(second heaviest); and so on
 - Update with preserving the numbers of heavier elements can be done via Underestimation of the Exchangeability Graph (by 2-SAT)
 - Approximation with factor 2 or better for the original problem [BKYY 2022]

Tractable cases of WMI via MIN [BBKYY 2023+]

• When $\forall C_1 \in \mathcal{C}_1, \forall C_2 \in \mathcal{C}_2, \ C_1 \not\subseteq C_2 \text{ and } C_2 \not\subseteq C_1$

 \rightarrow Finding a consistent graph is reduced to **2-SAT**

- When $\exists i \in \{1, 2\}, \forall C \in C_i, |C| \le k$ $\rightarrow O\left(2^k \cdot \operatorname{poly}(n)\right)$ time by 2-SAT + Brute-Force Guess
- Lexicographical Maximization
 - Max. #(heaviest); Sub. to this, Max. #(second heaviest); and so on
 - Update with preserving the numbers of heavier elements can be done via Underestimation of the Exchangeability Graph (by 2-SAT)
 - Approximation with factor 2 or better for the original problem [BKYY 2022]

Question

Is matroid intersection tractable if we only get the following information?

For each subset $X \subseteq S$,

[CI] whether $X \in \mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$ or not, [MIN] $r_{\min}(X) = \min\{r_1(X), r_2(X)\},$ [SUM] $r_{\sup}(X) = r_1(X) + r_2(X),$ or [MAX] $r_{\max}(X) = \max\{r_1(X), r_2(X)\}.$

<u>Obs.</u> MAX is too weak as it gives no information on the second matroid if the first matroid is free, i.e., $r_1(X) = |X| \quad (\forall X \subseteq S)$.

What we know (Results)

• Relation between Restricted Oracles

- [CI] whether $X \in \mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$ or not [MIN] $r_{\min}(X) = \min\{r_1(X), r_2(X)\}$ [SUM] $r_{\sup}(X) = r_1(X) + r_2(X)$ [MAX] $r_{\max}(X) = \max\{r_1(X), r_2(X)\}$
- SUM and CI+MAX can solve Weighted in general (Emulate Bellman–Ford)
- MIN can solve Unweighted in general, and Weighted in some cases
 - No circuit inclusion (2-SAT)
 - All circuits are small in one matroid (2-SAT + Brute-Force Guess, FPT)
 - Lexicographical Maximization (2-SAT, 2- or better Approximation in general)
- CI can solve Unweighted/Weighted in some cases
 - One is a partition matroid, Unweighted (Emulate BFS)
 - One is an elementary split matroid, Weighted (Brute-Force)

What we know (Results)

• Relation between Restricted Oracles

- [CI] whether $X \in \mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$ or not [MIN] $r_{\min}(X) = \min\{r_1(X), r_2(X)\}$ [SUM] $r_{\sup}(X) = r_1(X) + r_2(X)$ [MAX] $r_{\max}(X) = \max\{r_1(X), r_2(X)\}$
- SUM and CI+MAX can solve Weighted in general (Emulate Bellman–Ford)
- MIN can solve Unweighted in general, and Weighted in some cases
 - No circuit inclusion (2-SAT)
 - All circuits are small in one matroid (2-SAT + Brute-Force Guess, FPT)
 - Lexicographical Maximization (2-SAT, 2- or better Approximation in general)
- CI can solve Unweighted/Weighted in some cases
 - One is **partition or chain (general upper bounds)**, Unweighted (Emulate BFS)
 - One is an elementary split matroid, Weighted (Brute-Force)

What we know (Results)

• Relation between Restricted Oracles

[CI] whether $X \in \mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$ or not [MIN] $r_{\min}(X) = \min\{r_1(X), r_2(X)\}$ [SUM] $r_{\sup}(X) = r_1(X) + r_2(X)$ [MAX] $r_{\max}(X) = \max\{r_1(X), r_2(X)\}$

- SUM and CI+MAX can solve Weighted in general
- MIN can solve Unweighted in general, and Weighted in some cases
- CI can solve Unweighted/Weighted in some cases

What we want to know (Open)

- Can MIN solve Weighted in general? Or, is it hard?
- Can CI solve Unweighted/Weighted in general? Or, is it hard?